

2) applicant's representative

e) No.

Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Feinberg</u>.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ✓ Yes

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

c) Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant

If Yes, brief description: Inventor displayed active website.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Attached</u>.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Discussed prior art in view of applicant's claims
Applicant directed Examiner towards the crux of his application
Examiner will contact attorney during the first week of August.